

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,756	,756 01/30/2001		Mohammed Nafie	T1-31308 9448	
23494	7590	09/15/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
TEXAS IN P O BOX 65		ENTS INCORPO	DEPPE, B	DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
DALLAS, 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2637		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Vr.						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/772,756	NAFIE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Betsy L. Deppe	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
_	.h. 2005						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ju</u>	- ''' - '						
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	repure quayro, roce c.b. 11, 10	3.5.210.					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 24 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 24, line 12, "narrowband packets" should be "narrow band packets" (see claim 24, lines 5-6 and 8); and

in claim 27, line 1, one of the commas should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts (US Patent No. 6,577,670 B1 cited in the Office Action mailed July 9, 2004) in view of Widdowson (WO 99/38270 cited in the Office Action mailed December 7, 2004).
- 4. With regard to claims 24, 26 and 27, Figure 1 of Roberts discloses the claimed invention including a wideband radio unit (10) and a narrowband radio unit (20). (See also column 2, line 31 column 3, line 64 and column 4, lines 43-47) It is implicit/inherent that the received signal is decoded after the narrow band signals/packets have been excluded. However, Roberts does not disclose that the

Application/Control Number: 09/772,756 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

narrow band radio section decodes the one or more narrowband signals/packets and the wideband radio section subtracts the decoded narrowband signals/packets from the received data packet before decoding the received data packet.

Figures 10 and 11 of Widdowson teaches decoding the narrow band packets and subtracting the decoded Bluetooth packets from the received data packet (40) before decoding the received data packet (21, 18, 19). Since Roberts discloses excluding narrowband signals/packets from the wideband signals/packets (see column 1, lines 20-26), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of removing narrowband interference taught by Widdowson with the circuit of Roberts in order to avoid the requirement of filters with very sharp cut-offs to attenuate the narrowband signal. (See Widdowson, page 2, lines 11-14)

5. With regard to claim 25, Roberts in view of Widdowson disclose the claimed invention including a narrowband unit comprising a Bluetooth system and the one or more narrow band packets comprising Bluetooth packets. (See Roberts, column 1, lines 29-35 and column 2, lines 40-44)

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,756 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272 - 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637